UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,664	09/05/2006	Kenichi Fukuoka	295981US2PCT	3129
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			LEE, BRENITRA M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2889	
			NOTIFICAL TRONG DATE:	DEL MEDITIONE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
N. 42 CAL 1	10/591,664	FUKUOKA ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRENITRA M. LEE	2889			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on</li></ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ol>					
after the expiration of the period for reply.	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. X The reason(s) below:					
On 24 August 2009, the examiner spoke with Erlene February 2009. Ms. Schmidt imformed the examiner					
/Toan Ton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2889	/BRENITRA M. LEE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2889				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 0	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			